arXiv:1603.03201v1 [math.AC] 10 Mar 2016

FINITELY ADDITIVE, MODULAR AND PROBABILITY FUNCTIONS ON PRE-SEMIRINGS

PEYMAN NASEHPOUR AND AMIR HOSSEIN PARVARDI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define finitely additive, probability and modular functions over semiring-like structures. We investigate finitely additive functions with the help of complemented elements of a semiring. Then with the help of those observations, we also generalize some classical results in probability theory such as Boole's Inequality, the Law of Total Probability, Bayes' Theorem, the Equality of Parallel Systems, and Poincaré's Inclusion-Exclusion Theorem. While we prove that modular functions over a couple of celebrated semirings are almost constant, we show it is possible to define many different modular functions over some semirings such as bottleneck algebras and the semiring $(\mathrm{Id}(D), +, \cdot)$, where D is a Dedekind domain. Finally, we prove that under suitable conditions a function f is finitely additive iff it is modular and $f(0) = 0$.

0. INTRODUCTION

Semirings and other semiring-like algebraic structures such as pre-semirings, hemirings and near-rings have so many applications in engineering, specially in computer science and of course in applied mathematics (Cf. [11], [13], [17] and [22]). Finitely additive and modular functions appear in measure and probability theory (Cf. [4] and [8]), lattice and boolean algebra theory (Cf. [14]), module theory (Cf. [2]) and a couple of other branches of mathematics as we will mention in different parts of the paper. Since semiring-like algebraic structures are interesting generalizations of distributive lattices and rings, it is quite natural to ask if finitely additive and modular functions can be defined and investigated in "pre-semiring" theory. On the other hand, probability functions, as special cases for finitely additive functions, play an important role in probability theory. Therefore it seems quite interesting to see if the classical results of probability theory can be stated and proved in this context as well.

In the present paper, we define finitely additive, modular, and probability functions over semirings and semiring-like algebraic structures and investigate them over those algebraic structures. Since different authors give different definitions for semirings and similar algebraic structures, it is crucial to clarify what we mean by these structures. In this paper, by a "pre-semiring", we understand an algebraic structure, consisting of a nonempty set S with two operations of addition and multiplication such that the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $(S, +)$ and $(S, \cdot)$ are commutative semigroups;

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y60, 20M14.

Keywords: Semiring, Hemiring, Pre-semiring, Finitely additive functions, Modular functions, Probability functions

The authors were partly supported by University of Tehran.

(2) Distributive law: $a\cdot(b+c)=a\cdot b+a\cdot c$ for all $a,b,c\in S$.

The definition of pre-semirings is borrowed from the book [13] and for more on pre-semirings, one may refer to that.

An algebraic structure, that is a pre-semiring and it possesses an element that is a neutral element for its addition and an absorbing element for its multiplication, is called a hemiring. Usually the neutral element of a hermring is denoted by 0. Any hemiring with a multiplicative identity $1\neq 0$ is called a semiring. For more on semirings and hemirings, one may refer to [11].

Let us recall that if B is a boolean algebra, a real-valued function μ on B is called finitely additive, if $\mu(p\vee q)=\mu(p)+\mu(q)$, whenever p and q are disjoint elements of B ([16, Chap. 15]). In the first section of this paper, we define a function f from a hemiring S into a commutative semigroup T to be finitely additive if $st=0$ for any $s,t\in S$ implies that $f(s+t)=f(s)+f(t)$ and investigate finitely additive functions over complemented elements of semirings and show that if S is a zerosumfree semiring, T is a ring and $f:S\longrightarrow T$ is a finitely additive and normalized function (i.e., $f(1)=1$), then for complemented elements $s,t\in S$, the following statements hold:

(1) $f(t)=f(ts)+f(ts^{\perp})$.
(2) $f(s\triangle t)+2f(st)=f(s)+f(t)$, where $s\triangle t=s^{\perp}t+st^{\perp}$.
(3) $f(s)+f(s^{\perp})=1$.
(4) $f(s^{\perp}t^{\perp})=1-f(s)-f(t)+f(st)$.

Though we bring the definition of complemented elements of a semiring in this paper, but for more on these elements, one may refer to [11, Chap. 5].

Similar to the concept of independent events in probability theory, we define some elements $s_1,\ldots,s_n$ of a pre-semiring S to be independent, if $f(\prod_{x\in X}x)=\prod_{x\in X}f(x)$, for any nonempty subset X of $\{s_1,\ldots,s_n\}$, where f is a function from S into a commutative semigroup T. After that we prove that if S is a semiring, R a ring and $f:S\longrightarrow R$ a finitely additive and normalized function, then the following statements for complemented elements $s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_n\in S$ are equivalent:

(1) The elements $s_1,s_2,\ldots,s_n\in S$ are independent,
(2) The 2^n sets of elements of the form $t_1,t_2,\ldots,t_n$ with $t_i=s_i$ or $t_i=s_i^{\perp}$ are independent,
(3) The 2^n equalities $f(t_1\cdots t_n)=f(t_1)\cdots f(t_n)$ with $t_i=s_i$ or $t_i=s_i^{\perp}$ hold.

In the second section of the present paper, we define probability functions over semirings inspired from the definition of probability functions in probability theory ([18, Chap. I]). For defining probability functions, we put an order on the co-domains of those functions and define them as follows:

Let S be a semiring and T an ordered semiring. We define a function $p:S\longrightarrow T$ to be a probability function, if the following properties are satisfied:

(1) $p(s)\geq 0$, for any $s\in S$.
(2) $p(1)=1$.
(3) If $s,t\in S$ and $st=0$, then $p(s+t)=p(s)+p(t)$.

In this section, we get a couple of nice results for probability functions over semirings similar to probability theory and generalize some of the classical results of probability theory such as Boole's Inequality, the Law of Total Probability and Bayes' Theorem.

Modular functions appear in different branches of mathematics. A modular function is usually a real-valued function m over some objects that two operations "$+$" and "$\cdot$" have meaning for those objects and if s,t are of those objects, we have the following "modular" equality:

$$m(s+t)+m(st)=m(s)+m(t).$$

For example if L is a lattice of finite length. Then L is a modular lattice if and only if the function height : $L \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ is modular, i.e.,

$$\text{height}(a\vee b)+\text{height}(a\wedge b)=\text{height}(a)+\text{height}(b),$$

for all $a,b\in L$ ([14, Corollary 376]).

In the third section of the present paper, we define modular functions over pre-semirings and examine those functions over different pre-semirings such as Tropical, Max-plus, Truncation, Arctic and $B(n,i)$ algebras / semirings and show that modular functions over these semirings are almost constant, i.e., they are constant functions over their domains except a finite number of elements in them.

We also show that if D is a Dedekind domain, one can define many different modular functions over the semiring $(\text{Id}(D),+,\cdot)$. More precisely we prove that if $(G,+)$ is an Abelian group and D is a Dedekind domain, a modular function $f:\text{Id}(D)\longrightarrow G$ can be characterized by the values of f at (0), D and all maximal ideals of D.

After giving some important examples for modular functions, we show that Poincaré's inclusion-exclusion theorem in probability theory holds for arbitrary modular functions over pre-semirings. More precisely, we prove that if S is a multiplicatively idempotent pre-semiring and T a commutative semigroup and $m:S\longrightarrow T$ is a modular function, then for any elements $s_1,\dots,s_n\in S$, the following equality holds:

$$m(\sum_{i=1}^{n}s_i)+\sum_{i<j}m(s_is_j)+\sum_{i<j<k<l}m(s_is_js_ks_l)+\cdots=$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}m(s_i)+\sum_{i<j<k}m(s_is_js_k)+\cdots \qquad \text{(Poincaré's Formula)},$$

where the number of multiplicative factors in the sums of the both sides of the equality is at most n.

As a result of Poincaré's formula, we prove that if S is a multiplicatively idempotent pre-semiring, R a ring and $f:S\longrightarrow R$ a modular function, then for any $n>1$, if $s_1,\dots,s_n\in S$ are independent, then $s_1+\cdots+s_{n-1}$ and s_n are also independent.

We also prove that over complemented elements of a semiring, finitely additive functions are modular. In fact, we show that if S is a zerosumfree semiring such that $1+1$ is a complemented element of S, T is a commutative semigroup, and $f:S\longrightarrow T$ a finitely additive function, then $f\mid_{\text{comp}(S)}:\text{comp}(S)\longrightarrow T$ is modular, where by $\text{comp}(S)$, we mean the set of complemented elements of the semiring S.

We emphasize that in this paper, the multiplication of all rings, semirings, hemirings, and pre-semirings are commutative, unless otherwise is stated. Also note that by "iff", we always mean "if and only if".

1. Finitely Additive Functions on Hemirings

Let us recall that if X is a fixed set, then an algebra of sets $\mathscr{A}$ is a class of subsets of X such that $\emptyset, X \in \mathscr{A}$ and if $A, B \in \mathscr{A}$, then $A \cup B \in \mathscr{A}$, $A \cap B \in \mathscr{A}$, and $A' \in \mathscr{A}$. In measure theory, a real-valued function μ on an algebra $\mathscr{A}$ is called a finitely additive function if for disjoint subsets A, B of X, we have $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ ([4]). From this definition, we are inspired to define finitely additive functions over hemirings as follows:

Definition 1. Let S be a hemiring and $s, t, s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$.

(1) The two elements s and t are said to be disjoint, if $st = 0$.
(2) The n elements $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ ($n > 1$) are said to be mutually disjoint, if $s_i s_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.
(3) Let T be a commutative semigroup. We define a function $f : S \longrightarrow T$ to be finitely additive if for any disjoint elements $s, t \in S$, we have $f(s+t) = f(s) + f(t)$.

Let us mention that there are plenty of examples for finitely additive functions on different algebraic structures defined in different branches of mathematics. Since a modular function m with $m(0) = 0$ is also a finitely additive function (Refer to Theorem 48), those examples given in Example 45 are finitely additive functions as well. Probability functions are also finitely additive. Therefore the functions given in Example 13 are also interesting finitely additive functions. By the way, we give a couple of interesting examples for finitely additive functions over hemirings in the following:

Example 2. Examples of finitely additive functions over hemirings:

(1) Let $\mathscr{I}$ be the collection of finite unions of all intervals of the form $[c, d]$, $[c, d)$, $(c, d]$, and (c, d) where $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to see that $(\mathscr{I}, \cup, \cap, \emptyset)$ is a hermiring. For any interval J of the form $[c, d]$, $[c, d)$, $(c, d]$, and (c, d), set $\mu(J) = |d - c|$ and for a finite union of disjoint intervals $J_1, \ldots, J_n$, set $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} J_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(J_i)$. Then one can easily check that μ is a finitely additive function on the hemiring $\mathscr{I}$ ([4, Chap. 1]).
(2) Let X be an infinite set and $\mathscr{A}$ be the algebra of sets $B \subseteq X$ such that either B or B^c is finite (in some references, when B^c is finite, B is called co-finite). For finite B, define $f(B) = 0$, and for co-finite B, define $f(B) = 1$. It is easy to see that $(\mathscr{A}, \cup, \cap, \emptyset, X)$ is a boolean algebra and therefore a hermiring and f a finitely additive function ([3, Problem 2.13]).
(3) Let T be a commutative semigroup and E an entire hemiring, that is $ab = 0$ implies that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ for any $a, b \in E$. Any function $f : E \longrightarrow T$ with this property that $f(0) = 0$ is finitely additive and the reason is as follows: If $a, b \in E$ and $ab = 0$, then either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ and in each case, $f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$.

Proposition 3. *Let S be a hemiring, T a commutative semigroup and $f : S \longrightarrow T$ finitely additive. Then for any disjoint elements $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$, we have*

$$f(s_1 + \cdots + s_n) = f(s_1) + \cdots + f(s_n).$$

Proof. By Definition 1, the statement holds for $n = 2$. Now let $n > 2$ and suppose that $s_1, \ldots, s_n$ are elements of S such that $s_i s_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. It is clear that

$$(s_1 + \cdots + s_{n-1}) \cdot s_n = 0$$

and therefore

$$f((s_1 + \cdots + s_{n-1}) + s_n) = f(s_1 + \cdots + s_{n-1}) + f(s_n).$$

But by induction's hypothesis,

$$f(s_1 + \cdots + s_{n-1}) = f(s_1) + \cdots + f(s_{n-1}),$$

and the proof is complete. □

Example 2 shows that a finitely additive function $f : S \longrightarrow T$ over a hemiring S will be more interesting if S is not an entire hemiring, i.e., a hemiring with nontrivial zero-divisors. Semiring with nontrivial complemented elements are interesting examples of semirings with nontrivial zero-divisors and suitable for our purpose in this paper. Let us recall that an element s of a semiring S is said to be complemented if there exists an element $c_s \in S$ satisfying $sc_s = 0$ and $s + c_s = 1$. The mentioned element $c_s \in S$ is called the complement of $s \in S$. One can easily check that if $s \in S$ has a complement, then it is unique. The complement of $s \in S$, if it exists, is denoted by $s^{\perp}$. Also note that the set of all complemented elements of a semiring S is denoted by $\mathrm{comp}(S)$. The set $\mathrm{comp}(S)$ is always nonempty since $0 \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$. It is clear that if $s \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, then $s^{\perp} \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$ and $(s^{\perp})^{\perp} = s$. Finally if $s, t \in S$ are complemented, the symmetric difference of s and t is defined to be $s \triangle t = s^{\perp}t + st^{\perp}$ (Cf. to [11, Chap. 5]).

Proposition 4. *Let S be a semiring, T a commutative semigroup and $f : S \longrightarrow T$ a finitely additive function. If $s \in S$ is complemented, then the following statements hold:*

(1) $f(t) = f(ts) + f(ts^{\perp})$, *for any* $t \in S$.
(2) *If, in addition, $t \in S$ is also complemented, we have* $f(s \triangle t) + 2f(st) = f(s) + f(t)$.

Proof. (1): Since $(ts)(ts^{\perp}) = 0$, we have

$$f(t) = f(t(s + s^{\perp})) = f(ts + ts^{\perp}) = f(ts) + f(ts^{\perp}).$$

(2): Since $(s^{\perp}t)(st^{\perp}) = 0$, we have the following:

$$f(s \triangle t) + 2f(st) = f(s^{\perp}t + st^{\perp}) + 2f(st) = f(s^{\perp}t) + f(st) + f(st^{\perp}) + f(st) = f(t) + f(s),$$

and the proof is complete. □

A normalized measure in measure theory is a measure μ with $\mu(1) = 1$ ([16, p. 65]). Now it is natural to give the following definition. In this and next section, we are specially interested in finitely additive normalized functions.

Definition 5. Let S and R be semirings. We define a function $f : S \longrightarrow R$ to be normalized if $f(1_S) = 1_R$.

Proposition 6. *Let S and T be semirings and $f : S \longrightarrow T$ a finitely additive function such that $f(0) = 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *The function f is normalized.*

(2) *For any complemented element* $s \in S$, $f(s) + f(s^{\perp}) = 1$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Since $s \in S$ is complemented, $ss^{\perp} = 0$. Obviously this implies that $f(s) + f(s^{\perp}) = f(s+s^{\perp}) = f(1) = 1$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Since $0 \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, by assumption $f(0) + f(0^{\perp}) = 1$. But $f(0) = 0$ and $0^{\perp} = 1$. Therefore $f(1) = 1$. □

Note that in Proposition 6, it is possible to assume that T is a ring instead of assuming that $f(0) = 0$, since cancellation law of addition in rings implies that $f(0) = 0$ automatically (Refer to Remark 26).

Proposition 7. *Let S be a zerosumfree semiring, R a ring, and $f : S \longrightarrow R$ a finitely additive and normalized function. If s,t are complemented elements of S, then*

$$f(s^{\perp}t^{\perp}) = 1 - f(s) - f(t) + f(st).$$

Proof. (5): Let S be a zerosumfree semiring and $s,t \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$. According to the proof of Proposition 5.6 in [11], we have $s^{\perp}t^{\perp} = (s \sqcup t)^{\perp}$ and $s \sqcup t \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$. So by Proposition 4, we have: $f(s^{\perp}t^{\perp}) = 1 - f(s \sqcup t) = 1 - f(s + s^{\perp}t) = 1 - f(s) - f(s^{\perp}t) = 1 - f(s) - f(t) + f(st)$. □

Now we give the following definition inspired from the concept of independent events in probability theory ([8, p. 115]).

Definition 8. Let S and R be pre-semirings and $f : S \longrightarrow R$ a function. We define $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ to be independent, if $f(\prod_{x \in X} x) = \prod_{x \in X} f(x)$, for any nonempty subset X of $\{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$.

Theorem 9. *Let S be a semiring, R a ring, and $f : S \longrightarrow R$ a finitely additive and normalized function. Then the following statements for complemented elements $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n$ of S are equivalent:*

(1) *The elements $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n \in S$ are independent,*
(2) *The 2^n sets of elements of the form $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$ with $t_i = s_i$ or $t_i = s_i^{\perp}$ are independent,*
(3) *The 2^n equalities $f(t_1 \cdots t_n) = f(t_1) \cdots f(t_n)$ with $t_i = s_i$ or $t_i = s_i^{\perp}$ hold.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Let $\{t_1, \ldots, t_m\} \subseteq \{s_2, \ldots, s_n\}$. By Proposition 4, we have

$$f(t_1 \cdots t_m) = f(t_1 \cdots t_m s_1) + f(t_1 \cdots t_m s_1^{\perp}).$$

But $s_1, t_1, \ldots, t_m$ are independent. So we have

$$f(t_1) \cdots f(t_m) = f(s_1) f(t_1) \cdots f(t_m) + f(t_1 \cdots t_m s_1^{\perp}).$$

This implies that

$$f(t_1 \cdots t_m s_1^{\perp}) = f(t_1) \cdots f(t_m) - f(s_1) f(t_1) \cdots f(t_m) =$$
$$(1 - f(s_1)) f(t_1) \cdots f(t_m) = f(s_1^{\perp}) f(t_1) \cdots f(t_m).$$

This means that $s_1^{\perp}$ and $s_2, \ldots, s_n$ are independent. Now it is clear that by mathematical induction, we can prove that $s_1^{\perp}, \ldots, s_i^{\perp}, s_{i+1}, \ldots, s_n$ are also independent.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Obvious.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Note that since $f(s)+f(s^{\perp})=1$ for any complemented element of $s\in S$,

$$f(s_1)f(s_2)\cdots f(s_m)=f(s_1)f(s_2)\cdots f(s_m)(f(s_{m+1})+f(s_{m+1}^{\perp}))\cdots(f(s_n)+f(s_n^{\perp})).$$

From the 2^n equalities $f(t_1\cdots t_n)=f(t_1)\cdots f(t_n)$ with $t_i=s_i$ or $t_i=s_i^{\perp}$, we get that

$$f(s_1)f(s_2)\cdots f(s_m)=$$

$$f(s_1\cdots s_m s_{m+1}\cdots s_n)+f(s_1\cdots s_m s_{m+1}^{\perp}\cdots s_n)+\cdots+f(s_1\cdots s_m s_{m+1}^{\perp}\cdots s_n^{\perp}).$$

But the elements $s_1\cdots s_m s_{m+1}\cdots s_n$, $s_1\cdots s_m s_{m+1}^{\perp}\cdots s_n$, ... and $s_1\cdots s_m s_{m+1}^{\perp}\cdots s_n^{\perp}$ are mutually disjoint, so by using Proposition 3, we have:

$$f(s_1)f(s_2)\cdots f(s_m)=$$

$$f(s_1\cdots s_m s_{m+1}\cdots s_n+s_1\cdots s_m s_{m+1}^{\perp}\cdots s_n+\cdots+s_1\cdots s_m s_{m+1}^{\perp}\cdots s_n^{\perp})=$$

$$f(s_1\cdots s_m(s_{m+1}+s_{m+1}^{\perp})\cdots(s_n+s_n^{\perp}))=f(s_1\cdots s_m).$$

The thing we wished to show. □

Let us remind that a function $d:X\times X\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}_+\cup\{+\infty\}$ is defined to be semi-metric, if it satisfies all properties of a metric function except the requirement that $d(x,y)=0$ implies $x=y$. In other words, it is allowed that distinct points may have a zero distance ([5, Definition 1.4.4.]). We give a similar definition for G-semi-metric functions, where G is an ordered Abelian group.

Definition 10. Let X be an arbitrary set and $(G,+,\leq)$ be an ordered Abelian group.

(1) A function $f:X\longrightarrow G$ is defined to be non-negative if $f(x)\geq 0$ for any $x\in X$. If $(X,+,0)$ is a monoid, then the function f is defined to be positive if f is non-negative and $f(x)=0$ iff $x=0$, for any $x\in X$.

(2) A function $d:X\times X\longrightarrow G$ defines a G-semi-metric on X, if d has the following properties:
   (a) Non-negativeness: $d(x,y)\geq 0$ and $d(x,x)=0$, for any $x,y\in X$.
   (b) Symmetry: $d(x,y)=d(y,x)$, for any $x,y\in X$.
   (c) Triangle inequality: $d(x,z)\leq d(x,y)+d(y,z)$, for any $x,y,z\in X$.

   Also $d:X\times X\longrightarrow G$ defines a G-metric on X, if d defines a G-semi-metric on X and $d(x,y)=0$ implies that $x=y$, for any $x,y\in X$ (Positiveness).

Theorem 11. *Let S be a zerosumfree semiring, G an ordered Abelian group, and $f:S\longrightarrow G$ a non-negative and finitely additive function. Then the function $d(s,t)=f(s\triangle t)$ defines a G-semi-metric on* comp(S). *Moreover, if the function $f:S\longrightarrow G$ is positive, then d defines a G-metric on* comp(S).

Proof. Let $s,t,u\in$ comp(S). It is clear that $d(s,t)\geq 0$ and $d(s,s)=f(s\triangle s)=f(0)=0$. Also the symmetric property can be shown easily: $d(s,t)=f(s\triangle t)=f(t\triangle s)=d(t,s)$. Now we show the triangle inequality holds, that is, $d(s,t)+d(t,u)\geq d(s,u)$.

$d(s,t)+d(t,u)-d(s,u)=f(s\triangle t)+f(t\triangle u)-f(s\triangle u)$
$=f(s^{\perp}t)+f(st^{\perp})+f(t^{\perp}u)+f(tu^{\perp})-f(s^{\perp}u)-f(su^{\perp})$
$=f(s^{\perp}tu)+f(s^{\perp}tu^{\perp})+f(st^{\perp}u)+f(st^{\perp}u^{\perp})+f(st^{\perp}u)+f(s^{\perp}t^{\perp}u)$
$+f(stu^{\perp})+f(s^{\perp}tu^{\perp})-f(s^{\perp}tu)-f(s^{\perp}t^{\perp}u)-f(stu^{\perp})-f(st^{\perp}u^{\perp})$
$=2[f(st^{\perp}u)+f(s^{\perp}tu^{\perp})]\geq 0.$

Finally let the function $f : S \longrightarrow G$ be positive and assume that $d(s,t) = 0$. Then $f(s^{\perp}t) + f(st^{\perp}) = 0$. From this we get that $f(s^{\perp}t) = f(st^{\perp}) = 0$, which in turn implies that $s^{\perp}t = st^{\perp} = 0$.

Now $s = s \cdot 1 = s(t^{\perp} + t) = st^{\perp} + st = st$. In a similar way, $t = ts$ and therefore $s = t$ and this completes the proof. □

2. Probability Functions on Semirings

Let us remind that if $\mathscr{A}$ is an algebra of sets over a fixed set X, by a probability function, it is meant a non-negative, normalized, and finitely additive function ([18, Chap. I]). Inspired from this, we define probability functions over semirings similarly. Note that by an ordered semiring, we mean a semiring $(S,+,\cdot)$ with a partial order $\leq$ on S such that the following statements hold:

(1) If $s \leq t$, then $s+u \leq t+u$ for any $s,t,u \in S$;
(2) If $s \leq t$ and $0 \leq u$, then $su \leq tu$ for any $s,t,u \in S$.

For more on ordered semirings, one may refer to [10, Chap. 2].

Definition 12. Let S be a semiring and T an ordered semiring. We define a function $p : S \longrightarrow T$ to be a probability function, if the following properties are satisfied:

(1) $p(s) \geq 0$, for any $s \in S$.
(2) $p(1) = 1$.
(3) If $s,t \in S$ and $st = 0$, then $p(s+t) = p(s) + p(t)$.

Example 13. Here are a couple of examples for probability functions:

(1) Let X be a nonempty finite or countable set. It is clear that the boolean algebra $(P(X),\cup,\cap)$ has a semiring structure. Let $\{p_x\}_{x\in X}$ be a stochastic sequence, that is, p_x are non-negative real numbers with $\sum_x p_x = 1$. One may define a function $p : P(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $p(A) = \sum_{k\in A} p_k$. It is a well-known fact in probability theory that p defines a probability function on the semiring $P(X)$ ([15]).
(2) Let $a < b$ be two real numbers and $I_{ab} = [a,b]$ the closed interval of real numbers. A set $Y \subseteq I_{ab}$ is called to be a subinterval of I_{ab} if Y is in one of the forms $[c,d]$, $[c,d)$, $(c,d]$, or (c,d), where $a \leq c \leq d \leq b$. One may define S to be the collection of all possible finite unions of subintervals of I_{ab}. It is, then, easy to check that $(S,\cup,\cap)$ is a semiring. Now we define $p : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the following way:
   (a) $p(I) = \dfrac{d-c}{b-a}$, if I is one of the subintervals $[c,d]$, $[c,d)$, $(c,d]$, or (c,d) of I_{ab}, where $a \leq c \leq d \leq b$.
   (b) $p(J) = p(I_1) + \cdots + p(I_m)$, where $J = I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_m$ and $I_1,\ldots,I_m$ are distinct subintervals of I_{ab} such that $I_\alpha \cap I_\beta$ is either the empty set or a singleton for any $1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq m$.

   It is, then, easy to see that p defines a probability function on the semiring $(S,\cup,\cap)$ ([8]).

Proposition 14. *Let S be a semiring, T an ordered ring, and $p : S \longrightarrow T$ a probability function. If $s \in S$ is complemented, then the following statements hold:*

(1) $0 \leq p(s) \leq 1$.

(2) $p(ts) \leq p(t)$, *for any* $t \in S$.

Moreover, if S *is a zerosumfree semiring and* $s,t \in S$ *are both complemented, then we have the following:*

(3) $p(st) \geq p(s) + p(t) - 1$.

Proof. (1): $0 \leq p(s) \leq p(s) + p(s^{\perp}) = 1$.

(2): Since $p(t) = p(ts) + p(ts^{\perp})$, we have that $p(t) - p(ts) = p(ts^{\perp}) \geq 0$, which means that $p(ts) \leq p(t)$.

(3) By Proposition 7, we have $p(s^{\perp}t^{\perp}) = 1 - p(s) - p(t) + p(st)$. Since $p(s^{\perp}t^{\perp}) \geq 0$, $p(st) \geq p(s) + p(t) - 1$. □

Let us remind that if $s \in S$ is complemented, then $\sqcup$ is defined as $s \sqcup t = s + s^{\perp}t$. Now we prove the following theorem related to complemented elements of a semiring ([11, Chap. 5]).

Theorem 15. *Let* S *be a zerosumfree semiring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *If* $s,t \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, *then* $s+t \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, *for any* $s,t \in S$,
(2) $1+1 \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$,
(3) *If* $s,t \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, *then* $s+t = s \sqcup t$, *for any* $s,t \in S$,
(4) $(\mathrm{comp}(S), +, \cdot)$ *is a boolean algebra.*

Proof. The assertion $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$ holds by [11, Proposition 5.7].

$(3) \Rightarrow (4)$: By Corollary 5.9 in [11], if S is a zerosumfree semiring, then $(\mathrm{comp}(S), \sqcup, \cdot)$ is a boolean algebra. But by assumption $s+t = s \sqcup t$, for any $s,t \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$. Therefore $(\mathrm{comp}(S), +, \cdot)$ is a boolean algebra.

$(4) \Rightarrow (3)$: Straightforward. □

Theorem 16 (Equality of Parallel Systems)**.** *Let* S *be a zerosumfree semiring such that* $1+1 \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, T *a ring, and* $f : S \longrightarrow T$ *a finitely additive and normalized function. If* $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ *are complemented and independent, then*

$$f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - f(s_i)).$$

Proof. Since S is a zerosumfree semiring such that $1+1 \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, by Theorem 15, $(\mathrm{comp}(S), +, \cdot)$ is a boolean algebra. But $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ are complemented, so it is clear that the complement of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i$ is $\prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i^{\perp}$ and we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i + \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i^{\perp} = 1.$$

Since f is a finitely additive and normalized function, we have

$$f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i) + f(\prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i^{\perp}) = 1.$$

On the other hand, $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ are independent, so by Theorem 9,

$$f(\prod_{i=1}^{n} s_i^{\perp}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(s_i^{\perp}).$$

But $f(s_i^{\perp}) = 1 - f(s_i)$ and this finishes the proof. □

Theorem 17 (Boole's Inequality)**.** *Let S be a zerosumfree semiring such that $1+1 \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, T an ordered ring, and $p : S \longrightarrow T$ a probability function. If $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ are complemented, then $p(s_1 + \cdots + s_n) \leq p(s_1) + \cdots + p(s_n)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 15, $(\mathrm{comp}(S), +, \cdot)$ is a boolean algebra. Let $s_1, \ldots s_n \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$ and define $t_1 = s_1$ and $t_k = s_k s_1^{\perp} \cdots s_{k-1}^{\perp}$ for any $1 < k \leq n$. It is easy to check that $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ are mutually disjoint elements of $\mathrm{comp}(S)$ and $t_1 + \cdots + t_n = s_1 + \cdots + s_n$. But by Proposition 14, $p(t_k) \leq p(s_k)$. So

$$p(s_1 + \cdots + s_n) = p(t_1 + \cdots + t_n) = p(t_1) + \cdots + p(t_n) \leq p(s_1) + \cdots + p(s_n),$$

and the proof is complete. □

Definition 18. Let S be a semiring, T an ordered semiring, and $p : S \longrightarrow T$ a probability function. If for $t \in S$, $p(t)$ is an invertible element of the semiring T, the "conditional probability of s given t", denoted by $p(s|t)$, is defined to be $p(s|t) = p(st)p(t)^{-1}$.

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward.

Proposition 19. *Let S be a semiring, T an ordered semiring, and $p : S \longrightarrow T$ a probability function. If for the elements $s, t \in S$, $p(s)$ and $p(t)$ are multiplicatively invertible elements of the semiring T, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $p(s|t) = p(s)$,
(2) $p(t|s) = p(t)$,
(3) *The elements s and t are independent, i.e.,* $p(st) = p(s)p(t)$.

Proposition 20. *Let S be a semiring, T an ordered semiring, and $p : S \longrightarrow T$ a probability function. If for an element $t \in S$, $p(t)$ is a multiplicatively invertible element of the semiring T, then $p_t : S \longrightarrow T$ defined by $p_t(s) = p(s|t)$ is a probability function, i.e., the following statements hold:*

(1) $p_t(s) \geq 0$ *for any* $s \in S$.
(2) $p_t(1) = 1$.
(3) *If* $s_1 s_2 = 0$, *for* $s_1, s_2 \in S$ *then* $p_t(s_1 + s_2) = p_t(s_1) + p_t(s_2)$.

Proof. For example consider that $p_t(1) = p(1|t) = p(1 \cdot t)p(t)^{-1} = 1$. □

Proposition 21 (Law of Total Probability)**.** *Let S be a semiring, T an ordered semiring, and $p : S \longrightarrow T$ a probability function. If $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ are elements of S such that $t_i t_j = 0$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $t_1 + \cdots + t_n = 1$, and $p(t_i)$ is an invertible element of the semiring T for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $p(s) = p(s|t_1)p(t_1) + \cdots + p(s|t_n)p(t_n)$.*

Proof. It is clear that $s = st_1 + \cdots + st_n$ and $(st_i)(st_j) = 0$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. So by Proposition 3, we have that $p(s) = p(st_1) + \cdots + p(st_n)$. But $p(st_i) = p(s|t_i)p(t_i)$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. So $p(s) = p(s|t_1)p(t_1) + \cdots + p(s|t_n)p(t_n)$, the thing it was required to have shown. □

Corollary 22 (Law of Total Probability)**.** *Let S be a semiring, T an ordered semiring, and $p : S \longrightarrow T$ a probability function. If $t \in S$ is a complemented element of S such that $p(t)$ and $p(t^{\perp})$ are invertible elements of the semiring T, then $p(s) = p(s|t)p(t) + p(s|t^{\perp})p(t^{\perp})$.*

Proposition 23. *Let S be a semiring, T an ordered semiring, and $p : S \longrightarrow T$ a probability function. If for the elements $s \in S$, $t \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, $p(s)$, $p(t)$, and $p(t^{\perp})$ are multiplicatively invertible elements of the semiring T, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $p(s|t) = p(s|t^{\perp})$,
(2) *The elements s and t are independent.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): By the Law of Total Probability, we have

$$p(s) = p(s|t)p(t) + p(s|t^{\perp})p(t^{\perp}) =$$

$$p(s|t)p(t) + p(s|t)p(t^{\perp}) = p(s|t)(p(t) + p(t^{\perp})) = p(s|t).$$

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Since the elements s and t are independent, by Proposition 19, we have that $p(s) = p(s|t)$ and $p(s) = p(s|t^{\perp})$. □

One of the purposes of Bayes' formula in probability theory is to compute $P(B|A)$ in terms of $P(A|B)$. We prove a semiring version of Bayes' Theorem for probability functions as follows:

Theorem 24 (Bayes' Theorem)**.** *Let S be a semiring, K an ordered semifield, and $p : S \longrightarrow K$ a probability function. If $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ are elements of S such that $t_i t_j = 0$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $t_1 + \cdots + t_n = 1$, $p(t_i) > 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $s \in S$ such that $p(s) > 0$, then*

$$p(t_k|s) = \frac{p(s|t_k)p(t_k)}{p(s|t_1)p(t_1) + \cdots + p(s|t_n)p(t_n)}.$$

Proof. By definition, we know that $p(t_k|s) = \dfrac{p(t_k s)}{p(s)}$. But $p(t_k s) = p(st_k) = p(s|t_k)p(t_k)$. On the other hand, by the Law of Total Probability (Proposition 21), we have

$$p(s) = p(s|t_1)p(t_1) + \cdots + p(s|t_n)p(t_n).$$

Therefore

$$p(t_k|s) = \frac{p(s|t_k)p(t_k)}{p(s|t_1)p(t_1) + \cdots + p(s|t_n)p(t_n)},$$

and the proof is complete. □

3. Modular Functions on Pre-semirings

Modular functions appear in different branches of mathematics. A modular function is usually a real-valued function m over some objects that two operations "$+$" and "$\cdot$" have meaning for those objects and if s, t are of those objects, we have the following equality:

$$m(s+t) + m(st) = m(s) + m(t).$$

In this section, first we define modular functions on pre-semirings and examine modular functions over different celebrated pre-semirings and show that modular functions over these pre-semirings are almost constant, i.e., they are constant over their domains except a finite number of elements in them. We also prove that there are many modular functions over the semiring $(\mathrm{Id}(D), +, \cdot)$, where D is a Dedekind domain. After that we give a couple of nontrivial examples for modular functions in Remark 26 and Example 45. Then we prove Poincaré's Inclusion-Exclusion Theorem for modular functions over pre-semirings.

We also prove that over complemented elements of a semiring, finitely additive functions are modular.

Definition 25. Let S be a pre-semiring and T a commutative semigroup. We define a function $m : S \longrightarrow T$ to be modular if $m(s+t)+m(st) = m(s)+m(t)$ for all $s,t \in S$.

Remark 26.
(1) If S is a pre-semiring such that 0 and 1 are the additive and multiplicative neutral elements of S respectively and T is a commutative and cancellative monoid, and $f : S \longrightarrow T$ is a modular function, then by choosing $t = 1$, from the following modular equality
$$f(s+t)+f(st) = f(s)+f(t),$$
we get that $f(s+1) = f(1)$. This means that f is constant on the basic sub-pre-semiring $\{1, 1+1, 1+1+1, \dots\}$ of S.
(2) Let S be a hemiring, T a commutative monoid and $m : S \longrightarrow T$ a function. It is clear that if m is modular and $m(0) = 0$, then m is finitely additive. The question arises when the inverse is correct. Note that if T is a cancellative monoid and f is finitely additive then $f(0) = 0$ and the proof is as follows: Since $0 \cdot 0 = 0$, then $f(0+0) = f(0)+f(0)$ and since T is cancellative, $f(0) = 0$. In Theorem 48, we show that in boolean algebras modularity of a function f is caused by its finitely additive property.
(3) Let S be a totally ordered set. It is easy to check that $(S, \oplus, \odot)$ is a pre-semiring (known as bottleneck pre-semiring), where $a \oplus b = \max\{a,b\}$ and $a \odot b = \min\{a,b\}$ for all $a,b \in S$. Now let $(T,+)$ be a commutative semigroup. Obviously for any function $m : S \longrightarrow T$, we have
$$m(x \oplus y)+m(x \odot y) = m(x)+m(y).$$

Now we start examining modular functions over different pre-semirings. Let $\mathbb{N}_0$ denote the set $\{0,1,2,\dots,k,k+1,\dots\}$, i.e., the set of all nonnegative integers. One may define addition and multiplication over $S = \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-\infty\}$ as "max" and "$+$" respectively by considering that $-\infty < n < n+1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $-\infty + s = -\infty$ for all $s \in S$. It is easily checked that $(\mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +, -\infty, 0)$ is a semiring and in some references, it is known as the Arctic semiring.

Proposition 27. *Let $f : \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-\infty\} \longrightarrow G$ be a function from the Arctic semiring $(\mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{-\infty\}, \max, +, -\infty, 0)$ to the Abelian group G. Then f is a modular function iff it is a constant function over $\mathbb{N}_0$.*

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: By modularity of the function f, we have:
$$f(\max\{x,y\})+f(x+y) = f(x)+f(y).$$
If we suppose that $x \geq 0$ and $y = 0$, we get that $f(x)+f(x) = f(x)+f(0)$ and this means that f is constant over $\mathbb{N}_0$.

$\Leftarrow$: On the other hand, if f is constant over $\mathbb{N}_0$, then f is modular over the Arctic semiring. □

Let us recall that the semiring $(T_k, \max, \min\{a+b,k\}, -\infty, 0)$, where $1 \leq k$ and $T_k = \{-\infty, 0, 1, \dots, k\}$ is called the Truncation semiring.

Proposition 28. *Let G be an Abelian group. Then $f : T_k \longrightarrow G$ is modular iff f is constant over $T_k - \{-\infty\}$, where by T_k, we mean the Truncation semiring.*

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: Let T_k be the Truncation semiring, G an Abelian group, and $f : T_k \longrightarrow G$ a modular function. So by definition, we have

$$f(\max\{x,y\}) + f(\min\{x+y,k\}) = f(x) + f(y),$$

for all $x,y \in T_k$ and if we let $y = k$, we have the following:

$$f(k) + f(\min\{x+k,k\}) = f(x) + f(k).$$

This implies that $f(\min\{x+k,k\}) = f(x)$. But $\min\{x+k,k\} = k$, if $x \geq 0$. So f is constant over $T_k - \{-\infty\}$.

$\Leftarrow$: Straightforward. □

Another important family of finite semirings is mentioned in [11, Example 1.8] and we bring it here for the convenience of the reader. Let us recall that if $i < n$ are positive integers. The addition and multiplication of the finite semiring

$$(B(n,i) = \{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}, \oplus, \odot, 0, 1)$$

is defined as follows:

The addition $\oplus$ is defined as $x \oplus y = x + y$ if $x + y \leq n-1$ and $x \oplus y = l$ if $x + y > n-1$ where l is the unique number satisfying the conditions $i \leq l \leq n-1$ and $l \equiv_{\mod (n-i)} x+y$ and multiplication $\odot$ is defined similarly. Now we prove the following interesting result:

Proposition 29. *Let G be an Abelian group, $i < n$ be positive integers. Then a function $f : B(n,i) \longrightarrow G$ is modular iff it is constant over $B(n,i) - \{0\}$.*

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: Let $y = 1$. It is clear that if $x < n-2$, then $x+y,\ xy < n-1$. So $x \oplus 1 = x+1$, and $x \odot 1 = x1 = x$.

Putting $y = 1$ and $x < n-2$ in the modular equation, we have

$$f(x+1) + f(x) = f(x) + f(1),$$

which implies

$$f(x+1) = f(1),$$

for $x = 0,1,\ldots,n-2$. Thus $f(x) = f(1)$ for $x = 1,2,\ldots,n-1$. This means that f is constant over $B(n,i) - \{0\}$.

$\Leftarrow$: Obvious. □

Proposition 30. *Let $(G,+,\leq)$ be a totally ordered Abelian group and T a commutative and cancellative monoid. Then $(G,\min,+)$ is a pre-semirng and the only modular function, $m : G \longrightarrow T$, over the pre-semiring G is a constant function.*

Proof. It is straightforward to check that $(G,\min,+)$ is a pre-semiring. Note that by assumption, the following equality holds for all $x,y \in G$:

$$m(\min\{x,y\}) + m(x+y) = m(x) + m(y).$$

Now let $x \geq 0$. It is, then, clear that $x \geq -x$ and $\min\{x,-x\} = -x$. So by modularity of m over the pre-semiring G, we have $m(-x) + m(0) = m(x) + m(-x)$ and therefore $m(x) = m(0)$. On the other hand, if we let $x < 0$, then $\min\{x,0\} = x$ and it is clear that the

modularity of m implies that $m(x)+m(x)=m(x)+m(0)$ and again we have $m(x)=m(0)$. This means that for any $x\in G$, we have $m(x)=m(0)$ and m is a constant function and the proof is complete. □

Proposition 31. *Let S be a pre-semiring such that 0 and 1 are the additive and multiplicative neutral elements of S respectively. If 1 has an additive inverse, T is a commutative and cancellative monoid, and a function $f:S\longrightarrow T$ is modular, then f is a constant function.*

Proof. Let $x\in S$ be arbitrary and set $y=1$. So by modularity, we have:

$$f(x+1)+f(x\cdot 1)=f(x)+f(1).$$

Since T is a cancellative monoid, we have $f(x+1)=f(1)$. Now since 1 has additive inverse, we can replace x by $x-1$ and we have $f(x)=f(1)$, which means that the function f is constant on S and this finishes the proof. □

Corollary 32. *If R is a commutative ring with identity and T a commutative and cancellative monoid, and a function $f:S\longrightarrow T$ is modular, then f is a constant function.*

Remark 33. Note that if the multiplicative neutral element 1 of a semiring R has an additive inverse, i.e., $1+(-1)=0$, then by distributive law, we have $r+(-1)r=0$ for any $r\in R$ and this means that R is a ring. Therefore, in order to show that Proposition 31 is really a generalization of Corollary 32, it is important to give an example of a pre-semiring S such that 0 and 1 are the additive and multiplicative neutral elements of S respectively and 1 has an additive inverse, while S is not a semiring. In fact, the interesting example given in [13, Example 5.3.1] fulfills our purpose and inspires us to give the following result:

Proposition 34. *Let $(H,+,0,-,\leq)$ be a nontrivial totally ordered Abelian group and imagine E is the set of all closed intervals $[a,b]\subseteq H$ such that $a\leq 0$ and $b\geq 0$. Define $\oplus$ and $\otimes$ on E by*

$$[a_1,b_1]\oplus[a_2,b_2]=[\min\{a_1,a_2\},\max\{a_2,b_2\}],$$
$$[a_1,b_1]\otimes[a_2,b_2]=[a_1+a_2,a_2+b_2],$$

for all $a_1,a_2\leq 0$ and $b_1,b_2\geq 0$. Then the following statements hold:

1. *$(E,\oplus,\otimes)$ is a pre-semiring and $[0,0]$ is the neutral element for the both operations $\oplus$ and $\otimes$.*
2. *The neural element for multiplication $\otimes$ in E has additive inverse.*
3. *The neutral element $[0,0]$ of addition $\oplus$ is not an absorbing element of E and so E is not a semiring.*
4. *If G is an Abelian group, then $f:E\longrightarrow G$ is modular iff f is constant.*

Proof. (1) and (2): Straightforward.
(3): $[a,b]\otimes[0,0]=[a,b]$ for all $[a,b]\in E$.
(4): This holds by Proposition 31. □

Proposition 35. *Let G be an Abelian group and $R=(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R})\cup\{-\infty\}$. Define operations of R as follows:*

1. *$(a,b)\oplus(a',b')=(\max\{a,a'\},\max\{b,b'\})$ for all $a,b,a',b'\in\mathbb{R}$;*

(2) $(a,b)\odot(a',b')=(a+a',b+b')$ *for all* $a,b,a',b'\in\mathbb{R}$*;*
(3) $(-\infty)\oplus r=r\oplus(-\infty)=r$ *for all* $r\in R$*;*
(4) $(-\infty)\odot r=r\odot(-\infty)=-\infty$ *for all* $r\in R$*.*

Then $(R,\oplus,\odot)$ *is a semiring and any modular function* $f:R\longrightarrow G$ *is constant.*

Proof. According to [11, Example 1.25], R is a semiring and it is clear that $\mathbb{1}=(0,0)$ is the identity element of the multiplication of R. Let $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$. By modularity of f, we have

$$f((x,y)\oplus(0,0))+f((x,y)\odot(0,0))=f(x,y)+f(0,0),$$

which means that

$$f(\max\{x,0\},\max\{y,0\})=f(0,0),$$

and clearly this implies that if $x,y\geq 0$, then

$$f(x,y)=(0,0).$$

On the other hand, since $(x,y)\oplus(-x,-y)=(|x|,|y|)$ and $(x,y)\odot(-x,-y)=(0,0)$, modularity of f implies that

$$f(|x|,|y|)+f(0,0)=f(x,y)+f(-x,-y).$$

Therefore if we let $x,y\geq 0$, we have

$$f(-x,-y)=f(0,0).$$

Now let $x,y\geq 0$. Then it is clear that $(x,y)\oplus(x,-y)=(x,y)$ and $(x,y)\odot(x,-y)=(2x,0)$ and again by modularity of f, we have

$$f(x,y)+f(2x,0)=f(x,y)+f(x,-y).$$

But $f(2x,0)=f(0,0)$. So $f(x,-y)=f(0,0)$. Similarly one can see that $f(-x,y)=f(0,0)$ and the proof is complete. □

Proposition 36. *Let* $(G,+)$ *be an Abelian group. Then the following statements for a function* $f:\mathbb{N}_0\cup\{+\infty\}\longrightarrow G$ *are equivalent:*

(1) *The function* $f:\mathbb{N}_0\cup\{+\infty\}\longrightarrow G$ *is a modular function from the tropical algebra* $(\mathbb{N}_0\cup\{+\infty\},\min,+)$ *to the Abelian group* G*,*
(2) *The function* f *is constant over* $\mathbb{N}$*.*

Proof. $(1)\Rightarrow(2)$: Let $y=1$ and $x\in\mathbb{N}$ and rewrite the modular equality for the tropical algebra:

$$f(\min\{x,1\})+f(x+1)=f(x)+f(1).$$

Since $x\in\mathbb{N}$, $\min\{x,1\}=1$, we have $f(\min\{x,1\})=f(1)$, which gives

$$f(1)+f(x+1)=f(x)+f(1).$$

Canceling $f(1)$ from both sides, we have $f(x+1)=f(x)$ for all $x\in\mathbb{N}$, which means f is constant over $\mathbb{N}$.

$(2)\Rightarrow(1)$: Since f is constant over $\mathbb{N}$, we may choose constants $k,c,l\in G$ such that

$$f(x)=\begin{cases}k & \text{if } x=0\\ c & \text{if } x\in\mathbb{N}\\ l & \text{if } x=+\infty\end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to see that the function f satisfies the modular equality and this finishes the proof. □

Theorem 37. *Let G be an Abelian group and $(K,+,\cdot,\leq)$ a totally ordered positive semifield with this property that for $y \geq 1$, there is an $x \geq 0$ such that $y = x+1$ for all $x,y \in K$. Then the following statements for the function $f : K \longrightarrow G$ are equivalent:*

(1) *The function f is modular,*
(2) *The function f is constant over the set of positive elements $X = \{x > 0 : x \in K\}$ of K.*

Proof. $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$: Straightforward.

$(1) \Rightarrow (2)$: Since f is a modular function, it satisfies the following equation:

$$f(x+y) + f(xy) = f(x) + f(y).$$

Let $y = 1$ and rewrite the functional equation:

$$f(x+1) + f(x) = f(x) + f(1),$$

which implies that $f(x+1) = f(1)$ for all $x \geq 0$. Since for all $y \geq 1$, there is an $x \geq 0$ such that $y = x+1$, we have that

$$f(x) = f(1) \quad \forall x \geq 1. \tag{1}$$

Now replace $y = \dfrac{1}{x}$ in the original equation to obtain

$$f\left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) + f(1) = f(x) + f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \tag{2}$$

Assume $0 < x \leq 1$, then $\dfrac{1}{x} \geq 1$ and from equation (1), we have $f\left(\dfrac{1}{x}\right) = f(1)$. Replacing this in equation (2), we get $f\left(x + \dfrac{1}{x}\right) + f(1) = f(x) + f(1)$. Removing $f(1)$ from both sides, we have

$$f\left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right) = f(x) \quad \forall\, 0 < x \leq 1.$$

Since $x + \dfrac{1}{x} \geq 1$, we have $f\left(x + \dfrac{1}{x}\right) = f(1)$. Therefore, $f(x) = f(1)$ for all $0 < x \leq 1$. Finally, $f(x) = f(1) = c$ for some constant $c \in T$ and all $x \in X$ and the proof is complete. □

Example 38. In order to show the importance of Theorem 37, let us give a couple of nice examples for semifields satisfying the conditions of Theorem 37.

(1) It is clear that $(\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0},+,\cdot,\leq)$ and $(\mathbb{Q}^{\geq 0},+,\cdot,\leq)$ are totally ordered positive semifields with this property that for $y \geq 1$, there is an $x \geq 0$ such that $y = x+1$ for all x,y.
(2) It is easy to check that if $(K,+,\cdot,\leq)$ is a totally ordered positive semifield, then the semifield $(K,\max,\cdot,\leq)$ is a totally ordered positive semifield with this property that for $y \geq 1$, there is an $x \geq 0$ such that $y = \max\{x,1\}$ for all $x,y \in K$.

(3) If $(G,+,\leq)$ is a totally ordered Abelian group, then $(G\cup\{-\infty\},\max,+,\leq)$, known as G-max-plus algebra, is a totally ordered positive semifield with this property that for all $y\geq 0$, there is an $x\geq -\infty$ such that $y=\max\{x,0\}$.
(4) For nonnegative real numbers a,b, define $a\oplus_h b=(a^{1/h}+b^{1/h})^h$, where h is a fixed positive real number. It is easy to see that $S_h=(\mathbb{R}^{\geq 0},\oplus_h,\cdot)$ is a totally ordered positive semifield with this property that for $y\geq 1$, there is an $x\geq 0$ such that $y=x\oplus_h 1$ for all x,y. For more on the semiring S_h, one can refer to [21].

Remark 39. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of natural numbers (positive integers) and $a,b\in\mathbb{N}$. Then it is easy to check that if $\operatorname{lcm}\{a,b\}$ and $\gcd\{a,b\}$ denote the least common multiple and greatest common divisor of the natural numbers a,b, then $(\mathbb{N},\operatorname{lcm},\gcd)$ is a pre-semiring ([13, Example 6.5.8]) and $\operatorname{lcm}\{a,b\}\cdot\gcd\{a,b\}=a\cdot b$ ([20, Theorem 6.4]). This leads us to define a nontrivial modular function as follows:

Proposition 40. *Define operations $\oplus$ and $\odot$ on $\mathbb{N}$ as $a\oplus b=\operatorname{lcm}\{a,b\}$ and $a\odot b=\gcd\{a,b\}$. Then the function $f:\mathbb{N}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$ defined as $f(x)=\log x$ is modular, i.e.,*

$$f(a\oplus b)+f(a\odot b)=f(a)+f(b).$$

Let us recall that a semiring S is called simple if $s+1=1$ for any $s\in S$. First we prove the following lemma for modular functions over simple semirings. After that we are able to give another interesting nontrivial modular function.

Lemma 41. *Let S be a simple semiring and G be an Abelian group and $f:S\longrightarrow G$ be a modular function. Then $f(x^my^n)=f(xy)$ for any $x,y\in S$ and $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let $x,y\in S$ and apply modular equality for the elements y,yx. So we have

$$f(y+yx)+f(y\cdot yx)=f(y)+f(yx).$$

But $y+yx=y(x+1)=y$. So we have $f(xy^2)=f(xy)$. Now by induction on m,n, it is easy to prove that $f(x^my^n)=f(xy)$. □

Theorem 42. *Let $(G,+)$ be an Abelian group and D a Dedekind domain. Then the following statements hold:*

(1) *The semiring $(\mathrm{Id}(D),+,\cdot)$ is a simple semidomain.*
(2) *If $n\geq 2$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{p}_n$ are distinct maximal ideals of S, then $\mathfrak{p}_1+\mathfrak{p}_2\cdots\mathfrak{p}_n=D$.*
(3) *A modular function $f:\mathrm{Id}(D)\longrightarrow G$ can be characterized by the values of f at (0), D and all maximal ideals of D.*

Proof. Let D be a Dedekind domain and $\mathfrak{a}$ a nonzero and proper ideal of D. Then $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k^{\alpha_k}$, where $\mathfrak{p}_i$ are maximal ideals of D, and $\alpha_i>0,k\geq 2$ are integers. Then by Lemma 41, we have

$$f(\mathfrak{a})=f(\mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k^{\alpha_k})=f(\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k).$$

Now since $\mathfrak{p}_i$ are maximal, modularity of f gives the following equality:

$$f(D)+f(\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k)=f(\mathfrak{p}_1)+f(\mathfrak{p}_2\mathfrak{p}_3\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k).$$

Applying modular property for the ideals $\mathfrak{p}_2$ and $\mathfrak{p}_3\mathfrak{p}_4\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(D)+f(\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k) &= f(\mathfrak{p}_1)+(f(D)+f(\mathfrak{p}_2\mathfrak{p}_3\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k)) \\ &= f(\mathfrak{p}_1)+f(\mathfrak{p}_2)+f(\mathfrak{p}_3\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k). \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we can write

$$f(\mathfrak{a})=f(\mathfrak{p}_1\mathfrak{p}_2\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k)=f(\mathfrak{p}_1)+f(\mathfrak{p}_2)+\cdots+f(\mathfrak{p}_k)-(k-1)f(D). \tag{3}$$

Our claim is that if the values of f at (0), D, and all maximal ideals of D are given, we can define f for an arbitrary nonzero proper ideal $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k^{\alpha_k}$ ($\alpha_i>0$ and $k\geq 1$) of D as follows:

$$f(\mathfrak{a})=f(\mathfrak{p}_1)+f(\mathfrak{p}_2)+\cdots+f(\mathfrak{p}_k)-(k-1)f(D). \tag{4}$$

and then f becomes modular.

In order to show the trueness of our claim, we distinguish four cases for the following formula:

$$f(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b})+f(\mathfrak{a}\cdot\mathfrak{b})=f(\mathfrak{a})+f(\mathfrak{b}). \tag{5}$$

(1) If one the ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ is the zero ideal, then it is straightforward that equality (5) holds.

(2) Also if one of the ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ is the whole domain D, again it is straightforward that equality (5) holds.

(3) If the ideals $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k^{\alpha_k}$ and $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{q}_1^{\beta_1}\mathfrak{q}_2^{\beta_2}\cdots\mathfrak{q}_l^{\beta_l}$ have no common maximal factor in their decompositions, then the ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are comaximal, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}=D,$$

and we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}) &= f(D), \\ f(\mathfrak{a}) &= f(\mathfrak{p}_1)+f(\mathfrak{p}_2)+\cdots+f(\mathfrak{p}_k)-(k-1)f(D), \\ f(\mathfrak{b}) &= f(\mathfrak{q}_1)+f(\mathfrak{q}_2)+\cdots+f(\mathfrak{q}_l)-(l-1)f(D), \\ f(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) &= f(\mathfrak{p}_1)+f(\mathfrak{p}_2)+\cdots+f(\mathfrak{p}_k)+f(\mathfrak{q}_1)+f(\mathfrak{q}_2)+\cdots+f(\mathfrak{q}_l)-(k+l-1)f(D), \end{aligned}$$

which show that equality (5) holds in this case as well.

(4) If the ideals $\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{p}_1^{\alpha_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{\alpha_2}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_k^{\alpha_k}$ and $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{q}_1^{\beta_1}\mathfrak{q}_2^{\beta_2}\cdots\mathfrak{q}_l^{\beta_l}$ have common maximal factors in their decompositions and those common maximal factors are the ideals $\mathfrak{r}_1,\mathfrak{r}_2,\ldots,\mathfrak{r}_t$, then $\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{r}_1^{\gamma_1}\mathfrak{r}_2^{\gamma_2}\cdots\mathfrak{r}_t^{\gamma_t}$ and the following equalities satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}) &= f(\mathfrak{r}_1)+f(\mathfrak{r}_2)+\cdots+f(\mathfrak{r}_t)-(t-1)f(D), \\ f(\mathfrak{a}) &= f(\mathfrak{p}_1)+f(\mathfrak{p}_2)+\cdots+f(\mathfrak{p}_k)-(k-1)f(D), \\ f(\mathfrak{b}) &= f(\mathfrak{q}_1)+f(\mathfrak{q}_2)+\cdots+f(\mathfrak{q}_l)-(l-1)f(D), \\ f(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) &= [\sum_{i=1}^{k} f(\mathfrak{p}_i)+\sum_{j=1}^{l} f(\mathfrak{q}_j)-\sum_{s=1}^{t} f(\mathfrak{r}_t)]-(k+l-t-1)f(D), \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. □

Corollary 43. *Let $(G,+)$ be an Abelian group and f be a function from $\mathbb{N}_0$ into G with the following property:*

$$f(\mathrm{lcm}\{a,b\}) + f(ab) = f(a) + f(b).$$

Then f can be characterized by the values of f at numbers 0, 1, *and all prime numbers of* $\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The semiring $(\mathbb{N}_0, \mathrm{lcm}, \cdot)$ is isomorph to the semiring $(\mathrm{Id}(\mathbb{Z}), +, \cdot)$ and $\mathbb{Z}$ is a Dedekind domain. □

Example 44. Let D be a Dedekind domain. Define a function $f : D \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:

(1) $f((0)) = f(D) = 0$.
(2) If $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{m}_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_k^{\alpha_k}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_k$ are distinct maximal ideals of D, then $f(\mathfrak{a}) = k$.

By Theorem 42, f is modular and one can interpret f as a function that counts the number of maximal factors in the decomposition of an arbitrary ideal of D.

Now we go further to give other important examples for nontrivial modular functions in different branches of mathematics:

Example 45. Some examples for modular functions in different branches of mathematics:

(1) Let Ω be a finite set and 2^Ω the set of all subsets of Ω. The size function $|\cdot| : 2^\Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ is obviously a modular function. On the other hand, according to classical probability, the probability of an event $A \subseteq \Omega$ is defined by $P(A) = |A|/|\Omega|$. So $P(A \cup B) + P(A \cap B) = P(A) + P(B)$ for any $A, B \subseteq \Omega$. This means that $P : 2^\Omega \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is also a modular function ([24]). It is clear that $(2^\Omega, \cup, \cap, \emptyset, \Omega, ')$ is a boolean algebra and therefore a semiring.
(2) Let V be a finite dimensional inner-product vector space. If we denote the set of all subspaces of V by $\mathrm{Sub}(V)$, then the function $\dim : \mathrm{Sub}(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ is a modular function, since $\dim(W_1 + W_2) + \dim(W_1 \cap W_2) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)$ ([12, Proposition 5.16]). It is clear that $(\mathrm{Sub}(V), +, \cap, (0), V, ^\perp)$ is a boolean algebra and therefore a semiring.
(3) Let M be an R-module of finite length and let K and N be R-submodules of M. Then $c(K+N) + c(K \cap N) = c(K) + c(N)$, where $c(L)$ is the composition length of a module ([2, Corollary 11.5]). Now if we consider M to be a distributive module ([6]) of finite length, then $\mathrm{Sub}(M)$ is a bounded distributive lattice and therefore a semiring. For an example of a distributive module of finite length, refer to [7].
(4) Let D be an integral domain. Then D is a Prüfer domain iff $(I+J)(I \cap J) = IJ$ for all ideals I, J of D. On the other hand, if D is a Prüfer domain, then $(\mathrm{Id}(D), +, \cap)$ is a semiring ([19, Theorem 6.6]), where by $\mathrm{Id}(D)$, we mean the set of all ideals of D. Now it is clear that the function f from the semiring $(\mathrm{Id}(D), +, \cap)$ to the commutative semigroup $(\mathrm{Id}(D), \cdot)$ defined by $f(I) = I$ is a modular function.
(5) Let $(G, +)$ be a partially-ordered Abelian group. It is easy to see that $a \vee b$ exists iff $a \wedge b$ exists in G and in this case $a \vee b + a \wedge b = a + b$ ([9, Theorem 15.2]) and therefore the function $f : (G, \vee, \wedge) \longrightarrow (G, +)$ defined by $f(x) = x$ is a modular function. Now if $(G, \vee, \wedge)$ is also a distributive lattice, f is a modular function over the pre-semiring G.

(6) Let L be a bounded distributive lattice of finite length. Then the function height : $L \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ is a modular function, since $\text{height}(a \vee b) + \text{height}(a \wedge b) = \text{height}(a) + \text{height}(b)$ for all $a, b \in L$ ([14, Corollary 376]).
(7) Consider the Peano-Jordan content c (volume, area or length) over an Euclidian space $\mathbb{E}^n$. Define $FC(\mathbb{E}^n)$ to be the set of all subsets $A \subseteq \mathbb{E}^n$ such that $c(A) < \infty$. Then $(FC(\mathbb{E}^n), \cup, \cap, \emptyset)$ is a hemiring and c is a modular function over $FC(\mathbb{E}^n)$ ([23, Theorem 22]).

Now we give a pre-semiring version of the so-called inclusion-exclusion theorem in probability theory. Note that the set theoretic version of the following theorem is due to Poincaré ([4, Exercise 1.12.52.]). It is also a good point to mention that one can see the number theory version of inclusion-exclusion theorem in Theorems 7.3 and 7.4 in [20]. Also for multiplicative ideal theory version of this theorem refer to the recent paper [1] by D. D. Anderson et al. on GCD and LCM-like identities for ideals in commutative rings.

Theorem 46 (Inclusion-Exclusion Theorem)**.** *Let S be a multiplicatively idempotent pre-semiring and T a commutative semigroup. If $m : S \longrightarrow T$ is a modular function, then for any elements $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ the following equality holds:*

$$m(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i) + \sum_{i<j} m(s_i s_j) + \sum_{i<j<k<l} m(s_i s_j s_k s_l) + \cdots =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m(s_i) + \sum_{i<j<k} m(s_i s_j s_k) + \cdots \qquad \textit{(Poincaré's Formula)},$$

where the number of multiplicative factors in the sums of the both sides of the equality is at most n.

Proof. The proof is by mathematical induction. Since m is modular, the Poincaré's Formula holds for $k = 2$. Now let Poincaré's Formula hold for $k = n$ and take $s_1, \ldots, s_n, s_{n+1} \in S$. Since m is modular, we have

$$m(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i + s_{n+1}) + m((\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i) \cdot s_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m(s_i) + m(s_{n+1}).$$

Now by applying Poincaré's Formula for the n elements $s_1 s_{n+1}, \ldots, s_n s_{n+1}$, we have

$$m(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i s_{n+1}) + \sum_{i<j} m(s_i s_{n+1} s_j s_{n+1}) + \sum_{i<j<k<l} m(s_i s_{n+1} s_j s_{n+1} s_k s_{n+1} s_l s_{n+1}) + \cdots =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m(s_i s_{n+1}) + \sum_{i<j<k} m(s_i s_{n+1} s_j s_{n+1} s_k s_{n+1}) + \cdots .$$

So by considering this point that S is multiplicatively idempotent, the above equality can be written as follows:

$$m(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i s_{n+1}) + \sum_{i<j} m(s_i s_j s_{n+1}) + \sum_{i<j<k<l} m(s_i s_j s_k s_l s_{n+1}) + \cdots =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m(s_i s_{n+1}) + \sum_{i<j<k} m(s_i s_j s_k s_{n+1}) + \cdots .$$

Finally, by adding $m(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i + s_{n+1})$ to the both sides of the recent equality, we get the Poincaré's Formula for the $n+1$ elements $s_1, \ldots, s_{n+1}$ and the proof is complete. □

Proposition 47. *Let S be a multiplicatively idempotent pre-semiring, R a ring, and $f : S \longrightarrow R$ a modular function. For any $n > 1$, if $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in S$ are independent, then $s_1 + \cdots + s_{n-1}$ and s_n are also independent.*

Proof. Since S is multiplicatively idempotent, by Theorem 46, we have:

$$f((s_1 + \cdots + s_{n-1})s_n) = f(s_1 s_n + \cdots + s_{n-1} s_n) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(s_i s_n) - \sum_{1\le i<j<n} f(s_i s_j s_n) + \sum_{1\le i<j<k<n} f(s_i s_j s_k s_n) - \cdots + (-1)^n f(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n) =$$

$$[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f(s_i) - \sum_{1\le i<j<n} f(s_i s_j) + \sum_{1\le i<j<k<n} f(s_i s_j s_k) - \cdots + (-1)^n f(s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{n-1})] f(s_n) =$$

$$f(s_1 + \cdots + s_{n-1}) f(s_n),$$

and the proof is complete. □

In the following theorem and its corollaries, we show when a finitely additive function becomes modular:

Theorem 48. *Let S be a zerosumfree semiring such that $1+1 \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, T a commutative semigroup, and $f : S \longrightarrow T$ a finitely additive function. Then $f|_{\mathrm{comp}(S)} : \mathrm{comp}(S) \longrightarrow T$ is modular.*

Proof. Let $s, t \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$. Therefore by Proposition 4 and Theorem 15, we have $f(s+t) = f(s \sqcup t) = f(s + s^{\perp} t) = f(s) + f(s^{\perp} t)$. Finally, by Proposition 4, $f(s+t) + f(st) = f(s) + f(s^{\perp} t) + f(st) = f(s) + f(t)$ and the proof is complete. □

By Theorem 15, it is clear that if each element of a semiring S is complemented, then S is a boolean algebra. Therefore it is obvious that we have the following result:

Corollary 49. *Let S be a boolean algebra and T a commutative and cancellative monoid. Then the following statements for a function $f : S \longrightarrow T$ are equivalent:*

(1) *f is finitely additive,*
(2) *f is modular and $f(0) = 0$.*

Note that if L is a Stone algebra, then $\mathrm{Skel}(L)$ is a boolean algebra ([14, Theorem 214]). Therefore we have the following:

Corollary 50. *Let L be a Stone algebra and T a commutative and cancelation monoid. If $f : L \longrightarrow T$ is finitely additive, then $f|_{\mathrm{Skel}(S)} : \mathrm{Skel}(S) \longrightarrow T$ is modular.*

Proposition 51. *Let S be a zerosumfree semiring such that $1+1 \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, T a commutative semigroup, and $f : S \longrightarrow T$ a finitely additive function. For any $s_1, \ldots, s_n \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$, we have the following:*

$$m(\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i) + \sum_{i<j} m(s_i s_j) + \sum_{i<j<k<l} m(s_i s_j s_k s_l) + \cdots =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m(s_i) + \sum_{i<j<k} m(s_i s_j s_k) + \cdots \qquad \textit{(Poincaré's Formula)},$$

where the number of multiplicative factors in the sums of the both sides of the equality is at most n.

Proof. Let S be a zerosumfree semiring such that $1+1 \in \mathrm{comp}(S)$. So by Theorem 15, $\mathrm{comp}(S)$ is a boolean algebra. This means that $\mathrm{comp}(S)$ is a multiplicatively idempotent semiring. On the other hand, by Theorem 48, $m\,|_{\mathrm{comp}(S)} \colon \mathrm{comp}(S) \longrightarrow T$ is a modular function. Hence, by Theorem 46, Poincaré's Formula holds for complemented elements of S and this completes the proof. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are supported in part by the University of Tehran and wish to thank Sepanta Asadi for telling them a point related to Proposition 31.

REFERENCES

[1] D. D. Anderson, S. Izumi, Y. Ohno, and M. Ozaki, *GCD and LCM-like identities for ideals in commutative rings*, J. Algebra Appl., **15** (2016), no. 01, (12 pages).
[2] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, 2nd edn., Springer, New York, 1992.
[3] P. Billingsley, *Probability and Measure*, 3rd edn., New York, Wiley-Interscience Publication, 1995.
[4] V. I. Bogachev, *Measure Theory*, Vol. I, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
[5] D. Burago, Y. Burago and S. Ivanov, *A Course in Metric Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **33**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
[6] V. Camillo, *Distributive modules*, J. Algebra, **36** (1975), no. 1, 16–25.
[7] V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel, *On a class of balanced nonuniserial rings*, Math. Ann., **195** (1972), 279–281.
[8] S. Ghahramani, *Fundamentals of Probability with Stochastic Processes*, 3rd edn., Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, 2005.
[9] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
[10] J. S. Golan, *Semirings and Affine Equations over Them: Theory and Applications*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2003.
[11] J. S. Golan, *Semirings and Their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
[12] J. S. Golan, *The Linear Algebra a Beginning Graduate Student Ought to Know*, 2nd edn., Springer, Dordrecht, 2007.
[13] M. Gondran, M. Minoux, *Graphs, Dioids and Semirings*, Springer, New York, 2008.
[14] G. Grätzer, *Lattice Theory: Foundation*, Springer, Basel, 2011.
[15] A. Grigoryan, *Probability Theory*, Winter Semester 2010/2011 Lecture Notes, Preprint, Bielefeld, 2010.
[16] P. R. Halmos, *Lecture Notes on Boolean Algebras*, D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1963.
[17] U. Hebisch and H. J. Weinert, *Semirings, Algebraic Theory and Applications in Computer Science*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.

[18] A. N. Kolmogorov, *Foundations of the Theory of Probability*, Chelsea Pub. Co., New York, 1956.
[19] M. D. Larsen and P. J. McCarthy, *Multiplicative Theory of Ideals*, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
[20] H. Loo-Keng, *Introduction to Number Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
[21] V. Maslov, *New superposition principle for optimization problems*, Sem. sur les Equations avec Dérivées Partielles 1985/6, Centre Mathématique de l'Ecole Polytechnique Palaiseau, 1986, esp. 24.
[22] G. Pilz, *Near-rings: the theory and its applications*, Vol. 23, Elsevier, 2011.
[23] W. W. Rogosinski, *Volume and Integral*, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh and London, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1952.
[24] D. Stirzaker, *Elementary Probability*, 2nd edn., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

PEYMAN NASEHPOUR, DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF TEHRAN, TEHRAN, IRAN
E-mail address: nasehpour@gmail.com

AMIR HOSSEIN PARVARDI, DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF TEHRAN, TEHRAN, IRAN
E-mail address: parvardi@ut.ac.ir, a.parvardi@gmail.com